



Research Article

Recruitment and Retention of Participants from Fishing Communities of Lake Victoria in the First Phase I HIV Vaccine Trial in this Community: Experiences of the EV06 Study

Annet Nanvubya^{1*}, Ubaldo Bahemuka², Juliet Mpendo¹, Freddie Kibengo², Ali Ssetaala¹, Andrew Abaasa², Paul Kato Kitandwe¹, Bernard Bagaya¹, Sabrina Welsh³, Leslie Nielsen³, Mathias Wambuzi¹, Gertrude Nanyonjo¹, Vincent Basajja², Carl Verlinde³, Frances Priddy³, Gertrude Mutonyi², Jonathan Levin², Edna Venneker⁴, Dani Vooijs³, Song Ding⁴, Giuseppe Pantaleo⁵, Kundai Chinyenze³ and Pontiano Kaleebu²

¹UVRI-IAVI HIV Vaccine Program, Entebbe, Uganda

²MRC/UVRI Uganda Research Unit, Entebbe, Uganda

³International AIDS Vaccine Initiative, New York, United States of America

⁴Eurovacc Foundation, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁵Division of Immunology and Allergy, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

Abstract

Introduction

Fishing communities are a new "Most at Risk Population for HIV in sub-Saharan Africa". If they are to successfully participate in HIV prevention trials, researchers must demonstrate that they can recruit and retain them in these trials. We assessed the recruitment and retention rates of the first phase 1 HIV vaccine trial among fishing communities in Uganda.

***Corresponding author:** Annet Nanvubya, UVRI-IAVI HIV Vaccine Program, Entebbe, Uganda, Tel: +256 772592717, +256 772722377; Email: ananvubya@iavi.or.ug

Citation: Nanvubya A, Bahemuka U, Mpendo J, Kibengo F, Ssetaala A, et al. (2019) Recruitment and Retention of Participants from Fishing Communities of Lake Victoria in the First Phase I HIV Vaccine Trial in this Community: Experiences of the EV06 Study. *J Vaccines Res Vaccin* 5: 008.

Received: September 28, 2018; **Accepted:** January 07, 2019; **Published:** January 21, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Nanvubya A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Methods

Adult participants (18-45 years) identified through community education seminars on the shores of Lake Victoria and surrounding villages were invited to two study clinics in Entebbe and Masaka, Uganda for screening and possible enrolment into a randomized control HIV Vaccine trial. Detailed study information was given and written informed consent obtained from each participant. Eligibility for enrolment was based on low HIV risk profile, helminthic status, demonstration of understanding of the study, availability for 9 months' follow-up, contraceptive use and clinical and laboratory assessments. Proportions of volunteers screened, enrolled and followed up were measured. Proportions of reasons for screen failure were also measured.

Results

Recruitment took approximately 6 months between November 2014 and April 2015. A total of 338 participants (124, 37% females) were screened to enroll 72 (24, 33% females) giving a screening to enrolment ratio of 5:1. Screen failure reasons included; helminthic infection (153, 51%), high HIV risk behavior (37, 12%), unavailability for follow-up (26, 9%), hepatitis B or C infection (23, 8%), medical illness/abnormal history (21, 7%), HIV infection (7, 2%), abnormal laboratory results (6, 2%), pregnancy (4, 1%) and other reasons (8, 3%). A retention rate of 100% was achieved at the end of the 9 months' follow-up.

Conclusion

We conclude that despite a substantial proportion of screen failures, it was possible to recruit and retain participants in the first ever HIV vaccine trial from a community that is quite mobile and at high risk of HIV-1 infection. It is important to engage fishing communities in HIV prevention research as this key population will help researchers better understand the HIV/AIDS epidemic in Uganda.

Keywords: Fishing communities; HIV vaccine trials; Recruitment; Retention

Introduction

The first HIV case in Africa was reported in a fishing community in Uganda 37 years ago [1]. Fishing communities in Uganda remain key drivers of the epidemic [2,3]. Emerging data indicates that Ugandan fishing communities have HIV infection rates that are three to six times higher than the national average [4-13]. An HIV vaccine in addition to other biomedical prevention strategies is urgently needed. As progress continues to be made in the development of HIV vaccines, fishing communities are among the unique populations being prepared to participate in HIV prevention trials. The conduct of biomedical HIV prevention trials however, requires populations with individuals that can be retained after enrolment into clinical trials. People from fishing communities tend to be mobile in search of better fish catch, which tends to be seasonal [14]. The fishing industry is also largely a cash business, so fishermen and individuals working in related professions (i.e., fish mongers, fish smokers, and others) often have access to disposable income or cash which they often spend on purchasing alcohol or commercial sex [14]. Fishing commonly attracts younger men who are working away from their homes

and may be socially isolated from their families and social networks, which has been found to contribute to risk-taking behaviors such as drinking alcohol and commercial sex, high mobility, disposable income and younger age demographics all contribute to making fishing community residents particularly vulnerable to concurrent multiple sexual partnerships which increase exposure to HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases. Additionally, because of their mobile nature, high number of pregnancies, high HIV infection rates and busy work schedules [9,15], it may be challenging to recruit and enroll fishing community residents in clinical trials. If fishing communities are to be considered for inclusion in future biomedical HIV prevention research, recruitment and retention strategies that are tailored to suit their needs are needed.

Many fishing communities in Uganda are remotely located and difficult to access [14]. People from these communities have limited access to basic health care services and are hence characterized by several prevalent infections and infestations, which on many occasions go untreated [5,6,16]. Because participation in clinical research requires healthy individuals, these conditions may make it difficult for people from fishing communities to participate in biomedical HIV prevention research. Participant attrition is a major factor that impacts the internal validity of interventional studies [17]. Because individuals from these communities are mobile in nature, retention strategies that suit their mobility patterns are needed. This is because participant loss in research has negative impacts on research findings such as reduction in statistical power and may confound the inference on effects of an intervention on specific outcomes [18]. Before more clinical trials are conducted in fishing communities, it is worthwhile to determine whether people from these communities can be recruited and retained in trials.

We conducted a phase 1 HIV vaccine trial in fishing communities of Lake Victoria in Uganda called the EV06 trial and we report our experiences on recruitment and retention of participants this trial. It is noteworthy that early phase trials tend to have more intensive follow up schedules and enrolling fishing communities in such trials is a significant step toward including this unique population in HIV prevention efforts.

The EV06 trial was a collaborative trial between the European Community's FP7 IDEA program, the International AIDS Vaccine Initiative and the Uganda Virus Research Institute. It was the first phase 1 HIV vaccine trial conducted that enrolled residents of fishing communities. It recruited individuals in the community who were at low risk for HIV infection. The main objective of the trial was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of the combination of DNA-HIV-PT123 and AIDSVAX®B/E vaccines in HIV-1 uninfected adults with or without *Schistosoma mansoni* infection while assessing the effect of prevalent *Schistosoma mansoni* infection on the immunogenicity of the vaccines. For this manuscript, we report the recruitment and retention outcomes of this trial.

Materials and Methods

Study population

The study sample was recruited from fishing communities in Entebbe and Masaka, at least 5 km away from the shores of Lake Victoria for participants without *Schistosoma mansoni* and along the shores for participant's with *Schistosoma mansoni*. The recruitment area was within 40-45 km radius from each of the two study clinics.

A fishing community was defined as a village or trading centre that is adjacent to a boat docking site where the main economic activities and livelihood are derived directly or indirectly from fishing activities. Inhabitants of these communities are diverse and usually include fishermen, boat owners, boat makers and repairers, fish processors and traders, saloon owners, shop keepers, and owners and workers of bars/restaurants/lodges. These communities are typically densely populated with individuals living in wooden temporary buildings that are concentrated in small localities [10]. A resident of the fishing community was defined as having a residence for 6 months or more in a fishing community.

The study recruited HIV negative, male and female participants aged 18-45 years, who were at low risk for HIV. Being at high risk for HIV infection (within 3 months prior to the first vaccination) was collected by participant self-report and defined by reporting of at least one of the following: Unprotected sexual intercourse with an HIV-infected person, a partner known to be at high risk of HIV infection or a casual partner (i.e., no continuing established relationship), unprotected sexual intercourse with more than one sexual partner, engagement in sex work for money or drugs, use of recreational drugs (e.g., marijuana) and/or weekly or more frequent alcohol use and current or past Sexually Transmitted Infection (STI).

Recruitment and retention methods

Community gate keepers (leaders in these communities such as women leaders, local council leaders, Peer leaders, Village Health Teams (VHTs) and heads of beach management units) were approached before the research team contacted other community members. The purpose of meeting the community gate keepers first was to introduce the study to them and also get their permission to conduct research in their communities. HIV vaccine research community education seminars and one on one education sessions (for those who had limited time for community education seminars), were then conducted in the study communities to identify potential participants. The education seminars and one on one session were open to community members that were in the trial age bracket. During these meetings, study information was provided and people were given a chance to ask questions related to the study. At the end of each meeting, potential participants were registered and later invited in intervals to the two study clinics in Entebbe or Masaka for screening and possible enrolment into the trial. At the study clinics, detailed study information was given and written informed consent obtained from each participant. Impartial witnesses were used during obtaining of consent from illiterate participants. HIV-infection risk was assessed and HIV counseling and testing were conducted.

Eligibility for enrolment was based on HIV risk profile, age, helminthic infection status, demonstration of understanding of the study, availability for 9 months' follow-up, willingness to use an effective contraceptive method and delay pregnancy until end of the study, and on clinical and laboratory assessments. For the *Schistosoma* positive group, participants infected with only *Schistosoma mansoni* with an egg count below 2000 eggs per gram of stool and no other helminthes were enrolled. For safety reasons, participants with high intensity schistosomiasis of ≥ 2000 eggs per gram of stool were excluded because they needed urgent treatment. In order to minimize the risk of infection with *Schistosoma mansoni* during the trial, participants without *Schistosoma mansoni* were only recruited from communities at least 5 km from the lake shore where the prevalence of

Schistosoma mansoni was expected to be low. Participants with *Schistosoma mansoni* infection were recruited from fishing villages along the shores of Lake Victoria where the prevalence of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection is high. All those who were excluded received appropriate treatment and referrals.

Considering that this is a mobile community, additional recruitment and retention strategies were employed in recruitment and implementation phase. Community Advisory Board (CAB) members are normally engaged in the recruitment of study participants in clinical trials. Unlike in trials involving the general population where 3 to 4 CAB meetings are conducted, 14 CAB meetings were conducted in this study to ensure that more community members were approached. Community gate keepers helped in tracing study participants who had migrated. Contact information including home addresses, work addresses and telephone contacts was collected at screening for purposes of locating participants if needed. During study implementation, continuous telephone call reminders and home visits were made to ensure that participants attended their visits on schedule. Participants were reminded by telephone calls of an upcoming visit 7 days and again 3 days prior to the scheduled visit date or just before the scheduled visit date. Participants were reimbursed for time and transport at each visit depending on where they stayed.

Study design

The main study was a randomized, placebo-controlled, double blinded trial with vaccinations administered at months 0, 1 and 6. Participants who were either *Schistosoma mansoni* infected or not infected were randomly assigned to either vaccine or placebo arm. Safety and immunogenicity findings of the trial will be reported separately. This manuscript only highlights the recruitment and retention aspects of this trial.

Study duration

For each participant, a study screening window of 42 days was allowed before enrolment and rescreening was allowed once. Participants were followed for 9 months after the initial vaccination. Each participant completed a total of 14 visits from screening through their last study visit. Each study visit had a predetermined visit window to allow for flexibility in completing protocol procedures.

Sample size

A sufficient sample of 72 participants was determined for the study, 36 of who were assigned to the *Schistosoma mansoni* infection arm and 36 to the arm without *Schistosoma mansoni* infection. In each of these two strata, 30 participants were randomly allocated to receive vaccine while 6 were allocated to receive placebo.

Data collection

Interviewer-administered questionnaires were used to collect data on socio-demographic characteristics, HIV risk behavior and other study parameters. Contact data was collected using locator forms at screening and updated at subsequent visits for all participants whose information had changed. Study data were collected by trained research staffs that were fluent in both English and Uganda (the local language most commonly spoken in the study area). Study participants were allowed to select which language they liked to receive study information in.

Biological sample collection

Approximately 28 mL of blood were collected at the screening visit. There after blood draw volumes ranged between 5 mL to 118 mL for immunogenicity and safety assessments. Stool samples on 3 consecutive days were collected for schistosome and other helminthic egg examination at two time points in the study. Urine samples were collected to rule out urinary tract infections, pregnancy and confirm the absence of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection. Participants with medical illness were treated or referred for treatment accordingly.

Data analysis

Data for volunteers screened, enrolled and followed up were entered in OpenClinica. Electronic data was transferred from OpenClinica to STATA version 12 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) software for statistical analyses. Selected variables were examined at screening, enrolment and at subsequent follow-up visits. Proportions of volunteers screened, enrolled and followed up were measured. Proportions of reasons for not enrolling a screened participant were also measured.

Study monitoring

On-site monitoring was completed by the study sponsor to ensure that the study was conducted in compliance with human subjects' protection and other research regulations and guidelines, recorded and reported in accordance with the protocol, standard operating procedures, good clinical practice, applicable regulatory requirements and locally accepted research practices.

Ethical considerations

The Principal Investigator ensured that the trial was conducted in compliance with the protocol, Standard Operating Procedures, Good participatory practice, International Conference on Harmonisation, Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) guidelines and applicable regulatory requirements. Ethical and regulatory approval were granted by the Uganda Virus Research Institute, Research Ethics Committee (UVRI-REC, FWA number 00001354) and the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (UNCST, FWA number 00001293) respectively. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before study procedures were performed. Condoms and contraception were given to all participants free of charge upon request. Participants diagnosed with HIV infection were counseled and referred to local service providers for comprehensive HIV care and management. Participants diagnosed with syphilis and other symptomatic sexually transmitted infections were counseled and offered treatment with their partners. In case a participant's partner requested to know about the study or their HIV status, a counselor was assigned to give free counseling and information about the study. Couples HIV testing and counseling was offered upon request. Those diagnosed with *Schistosoma mansoni* of more than 2000 eggs per gram of stool and other helminthic co-infections were offered immediate treatment while those with less than 2000 eggs per gram of stool were asked to stay off treatment until week 26 with the participant's consent. Participants were also treated for any illness that they got during the trial at no cost. Study information was treated as strictly confidential and numbers rather than names were used to identify participants.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

Of the 72 enrolled participants, 66.7% were male and the majority, 35 (49%) were aged 25-34 years. About half of the respondents, 37 (51.4%) were residing on the mainland. Over half of participants (42 (58.3%)) were single or not married. Nearly all, 66 (91.7%) had attained up to primary level of education as shown in table 1.

Characteristic	N	Male	Female
Total	72 (100%)	48 (66.7%)	24 (33.3%)
Age (years)			
Mean (SD)	29.6 (6.2)	28 (5.6)	32 (6.7)
Median (IQR)	29 (21-42)	28 (23-33)	31 (28-37)
18-24	21 (29%)	19 (39%)	2 (8.3%)
25-34	35 (49%)	21 (44%)	14 (58.4)
35+	16(22%)	8 (17%)	8 (33.3%)
Highest Education level			
None	0(0%)		
Primary	66 (91.7%)	43 (89.6%)	23 (95.8%)
Secondary	6 (8.3%)	5 (10.4%)	1 (4.2%)
University/Tertiary	0 (0%)		
Residence			
Lake shore	35 (48.6%)	23 (47.9%)	12 (50%)
Mainland	37 (51.4%)	25 (52%)	12 (50%)
Employment			
Not employed	2 (2.8%)	0	2 (8.3%)
Fishing and related tasks	3 (4.2%)	1 (2.1%)	2 (8.3%)
Business/Trade	48 (66.7%)	36 (75%)	12(50%)
Farming	6 (8.3%)	3 (6.3%)	3 (12.5%)
Other Jobs	13 (18%)	8 (16.7%)	5 (20.8%)
Marital Status			
Married/Living Together	27 (37.5%)	21(43.8%)	6 (25%)
Divorced/Separated	3 (4.2%)	1 (2%)	2 (8.3%)
Not Married/Single	42 (58.3%)	26 (54.2%)	16 (66.7%)
Religion			
Christian	52 (72)	37 (77)	15 (63)
Muslim	20 (28)	11 (23)	9 (37)

Table 1: Social demographic characteristics of study population.

Recruitment and retention

Recruitment for the trial took approximately 6 months, between November 2014 and April 2015 instead of the originally anticipated 3 months' period. A total of 338 (129, 38% females) participants were screened; 72 (24, 33% females) were enrolled resulting in a screening to enrolment ratio of 5:1 as shown in figure 1. Of the 72 who were enrolled and randomized, 69 received their 2nd vaccination while 68 received their 3rd vaccination. Reasons for discontinuation of vaccinations included pregnancy (2), HIV infection (1) and hepatosplenomegaly that were a likely complication of incident schistosomiasis (1). Although some participants had their vaccinations discontinued, all enrolled participants were followed up until the end of the study. Therefore, a retention rate of 100% was registered at each of the fourteen study visits.




Figure 1: Number of individuals screened for eligibility, enrolled and followed-up.

Reasons for screen failure

Reasons for not enrolling a screened participant (screen failure) included: Helminthic infection (excluding schistosomiasis of >2000 eggs/gram of stool) (153, 51%), high HIV risk behavior (37, 12%), unavailability for follow-up (26, 9%), hepatitis B or C infection (23, 8%), medical illness/abnormal history (21, 7%), Schistosoma mansoni infection of > 2000 eggs/gram of stool (15, 5%), HIV infection (7, 2%), abnormal laboratory results (6, 2%), pregnancy (4, 1%) and other non-specific reasons (8,3%). All those who were excluded due to treatable illnesses received treatment and appropriate referrals were made for conditions that could not be treated at the clinic sites. See figure 2 for a tabulation of the reasons for screen failure.




Figure 2: Reasons for screen failure.

Discussion

This was the first HIV vaccine trial that recruited individuals from fishing communities. Although fishing communities are known to be a mobile population in Uganda, the EV06 trial demonstrated that it was possible to recruit and retain participants in an early phase trial [12]. The duration of recruitment was longer than expected because of the

rather stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria that were followed. For example, one unique criterion was that in the *Schistosoma* positive group, participants infected with only *Schistosoma mansoni* with an egg count below 2000 eggs/gram of stool and no other helminths were eligible to be enrolled. The high prevalence of worm infections in this population contributed to the long recruitment period and high screening: Enrolment ratio. Despite the longer than expected duration of recruitment a retention rate of 100% was registered at each of the fourteen study visits.

Approximately one third of the participants enrolled in the study were females. It is worthwhile to note that requirements for participation in this clinical trial made it easier to enroll male participants as compared to their female counterparts. Females of reproductive potential were required to use effective birth control methods to avoid getting pregnant while on the study to avoid potential unknown effects of the study products to an unborn child. Breast feeding mothers were also excluded from participation in the trial. As in other early phase HIV vaccine clinical trials, four pregnant women, two intending to get pregnant, two breast feeding mothers and three reproductive women who were not willing to use contraception were excluded [19]. Previous literature shows that female participants especially from resource limited settings often lack the autonomy to make independent decisions to participate in biomedical interventions [20,21]. It is common that women have to first seek consent from their spouses prior to participation. In view of these gender disparities, it is understandable that more males than females in this study were enrolled, a trend that has been observed in other HIV prevention studies [16,21]. In another HIV vaccine trial that we conducted in the general population, the proportion of women compared to male participants was similar to that in this trial [22]. Whereas recruitment of women in clinical trials is challenging, it is important to recruit a near-equal proportion of male and female participants both to understand the safety profile of the investigational products on men and women and also maintain the ethical integrity of the trial, as women bear the greatest burden of the HIV epidemic.

Even though the required age of participation ranged between 18-49 years, the majority of the participants were adults between the ages of 25-34 years. This probably was a reflection of the demographics of the population where the 25-34 age groups were most prevalent. Another HIV vaccine trial which was conducted in the general population revealed a similar age distribution [22]. Findings from our study also show that only a few adults aged over 35 years participated in the study. Another study conducted by Joako et al. which recruited from an urban population including university students, showed that majority of those who participated in that HIV vaccine trial were adults aged below 35 years [23]. Since these two trials targeted different study populations, it is possible that individuals aged 18-24 years and those aged 35 years and over are more difficult to engage in clinical trials, and more information is needed to try and actively engage them.

In this study, retention did not differ by geographic location because retention of 100% at all the fourteen visits was accrued in both study groups. The retention was higher than what was previously observed in a study which assessed recruitment and retention of women in Ugandan fishing communities [21]. Nevertheless, the retention was similar to what was observed in a vaccine trial that we conducted in the general Ugandan population [22]. Given that our population is

known to be mobile, we attribute this high level of retention to the rigorous recruitment and retention strategies that were employed.

Studies conducted in similar settings have shown that most of the residents in fishing communities have little or no formal education [5,7,10,21,23-28]. Similarly, majority of the participants in our study had attained only up to primary education level. This however did not impact recruitment or retention in the study. Illiterate participants were consented in the local language in the presence of an impartial witness which made it possible for them to comprehend the study and its requirements. Because of their low literacy rates, vaccine and trial concepts were explained with use of visual aids where necessary.

The screening: Enrolment ratio in trials involving the Ugandan general population or non-fishing communities is noted to be lower (2:1) as compared to what it was in this study (5:1). The high number of screen outs was mainly due to the stringent eligibility criteria with regard to the *Schistosoma* infection status; the high proportion of individuals at high risk for HIV and other co-morbidities. Participants with a *Schistosoma mansoni* egg count of >2000 eggs per gram of stool and other helminthic infections were excluded. People from near water bodies tend to have *Schistosoma* and other worm infections which usually go untreated [28]. As they go out fishing with continuous exposure to water, they get infected with worms, so many were excluded due to a high worm burden.

People from fishing communities have a high rate of multiple sexual partnerships which puts them at a higher risk for HIV [10,29]. Because of this, many were excluded from participation in the clinical trial which required individuals at low risk for acquiring HIV. Additionally, a high prevalence of undiagnosed chronic medical conditions such as Hepatitis, syphilis and other sexually transmitted diseases contributed to the high screen failure rate. Fishing communities tend to be limited in regards to basic health care services [20,30]. They lack social structures such as schools and hospitals, hence forth; they are burdened with different morbidities. In future, researchers need to address the prevailing health challenges through provision of basic health services to communities where research participants will be drawn from.

The residence status also contributed to the high screening: Enrolment ratio in this study and the long recruitment duration. Since the trial recruited participants without *Schistosoma mansoni* from a pre-set distance of at least 5 km away from the lake shore while participants with a low burden of *Schistosoma mansoni* were recruited from communities along the lake shore, many would be eligible participants were excluded. Recruitment for HIV vaccine trials evaluating vaccine candidates for safety doesn't routinely exclude participants based on their residence status. Other studies which recruited participants from non-fishing communities and had no residence restrictions recruited in a much shorter duration [23,31,32].

People from fishing communities are known to be mobile as majority tends to be fishermen who keep moving from one fishing community to another in search for fish [11]. In this study, we were able to demonstrate that regardless of their mobile nature, people from fishing communities can be recruited and retained in a trial. A similarly good retention was observed in other mobile and high risk populations [33,34]. However, to get such retention, the study team employed additional measures such as conducting more CAB meetings, engaging CAB members in recruitment, making continuous and

consistent reminders through telephone calls and home visits when telephones were off.

While results in this study show that fishing communities can be successfully recruited and retained in clinical trials, findings may have been different if participants at high risk for HIV had been recruited. Recruitment and retention of high risk individuals from this community still need to be assessed.

Conclusion

Findings from the EV06 trial show that, despite a substantial proportion of screen failures, it is possible to recruit for early phase HIV prevention vaccine trials in fishing communities and have a high retention rate. Although the majority of the screen failures were due to helminthic infections, this screening criterion is not normally included in HIV vaccine clinical trials. Despite their mobile nature, fishing community residents can adhere to clinical trial procedures similar to individuals in the general population. It is important to engage fishing communities in HIV prevention research as this key population will help researchers better understand the HIV/AIDS epidemic in Uganda and assess new prevention technologies in clinical trials.

Acknowledgement

We appreciate the study participants, study staff and all other key stakeholders for their valuable contribution. We appreciate the following; a) UVRI-IAVI HIV Vaccine Program Limited and MRC/UVRI Uganda Research Unit for supporting the implementation of the study and for providing institutional and logistical support; b) Study participants for providing the data; c) Study staff for collecting the data; d) UVRI-Research and Ethics Committee and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology for reviewing the study; and e) UVRI-IAVI Community Advisory Board for their continuous advice, support and guidance throughout the implementation phase.

Conflict of Interest

The dataset used and/or analyzed for this study are available from the corresponding author on request. All authors have given their consent to publish this work and have made contributions in various capacities.

References

1. Serwadda D, Mugerwa RD, Sewankambo NK, Lwegaba A, Carswell JW, et al. (1985) Slim disease: A new disease in Uganda and its association with HTLV-III infection. *Lancet* 2: 849-852.
2. Kamali A, Nsubuga RN, Ruzagira E, Bahemuka U, Asiki G, et al. (2016) Heterogeneity of HIV incidence: A comparative analysis between fishing communities and in a neighbouring rural general population, Uganda, and implications for HIV control. *Sex Transm Infect* 92: 447-454.
3. Kiwuwa-Muyingo S, Nazziwa J, Ssemwanga D, Ilmonen P, Njai H, et al. (2017) HIV-1 transmission networks in high risk fishing communities on the shores of Lake Victoria in Uganda: A phylogenetic and epidemiological approach. *PLoS One* 12: 0185818.
4. Opio A (2010) HIV sero-behavioural study in the fishing communities along lake victoria in uganda. East African Community, United Republic of Tanzania, Tanzania.
5. Asiki G, Abaasa A, Ruzagira E, Kibengo F, Bahemuka U, et al. (2013) Willingness to participate in HIV vaccine efficacy trials among high risk men and women from fishing communities along Lake Victoria in Uganda. *Vaccine* 31: 5055-5061.
6. Asiki G, Mpendo J, Abaasa A, Agaba C, Nanvubya A, et al (2011) HIV and syphilis prevalence and associated risk factors among fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Uganda. *Sex Transm Infect* 87: 511-515.
7. Seeley J, Tumwekwase G, Grosskurth H (2009) Fishing for a living but catching HIV: AIDS and changing patterns of the organization of work in fisheries in Uganda. *Anthr Work Rev* 30: 66-76.
8. Seeley J, Nakiyingi-Miiri J, Kamali A, Mpendo J, Asiki G, et al. (2012) High HIV incidence and socio-behavioral risk patterns in fishing communities on the shores of Lake Victoria, Uganda. *Sex Transm Dis* 39: 433-439.
9. Kissling E, Allison EH, Seeley JA, Russell S, Bachmann M, et al. (2005) Fisherfolk are among groups most at risk of HIV: Cross-country analysis of prevalence and numbers infected. *AIDS* 19: 1939-1946.
10. Kiwanuka N, Ssetaala A, Mpendo J, Wambuzi M, Nanvubya A, et al. (2013) High HIV-1 prevalence, risk behaviours, and willingness to participate in HIV vaccine trials in fishing communities on Lake Victoria, Uganda. *J Int AIDS Soc* 16: 18621.
11. Kiwanuka N, Ssetaala A, Nalutaaya A, Mpendo J, Wambuzi M, et al. (2014) High incidence of HIV-1 infection in a general population of fishing communities around Lake Victoria, Uganda. *PLoS One* 9: 94932.
12. Kiwanuka N, Mpendo J, Nalutaaya A, Wambuzi M, Nanvubya A, et al. (2014) An assessment of fishing communities around Lake Victoria, Uganda, as potential populations for future HIV vaccine efficacy studies: An observational cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 14: 986.
13. Opio A, Muyonga M, Mulumba N (2013) HIV infection in fishing communities of Lake Victoria Basin of Uganda--a cross-sectional sero-behavioral survey. *PLoS One* 8: 70770.
14. Seeley JA, Allison EH (2005) HIV/AIDS in fishing communities: Challenges to delivering antiretroviral therapy to vulnerable groups. *AIDS Care* 17: 688-697.
15. Kiwanuka N, Robb M, Kigozi G, Bix D, Philips J, et al. (2004) Knowledge about vaccines and willingness to participate in preventive HIV vaccine trials: A population-based study, Rakai, Uganda. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 36: 721-725.
16. Ruzagira E, Wandiembe S, Bufumbo L, Levin J, Price MA, et al. (2009) Willingness to participate in preventive HIV vaccine trials in a community-based cohort in south western Uganda. *Trop Med Int Heal* 14: 196-203.
17. Kim YJ, Peragallo N, DeForge B (2006) Predictors of participation in an HIV risk reduction intervention for socially deprived Latino women: A cross sectional cohort study. *Int J Nurs Stud* 43: 527-534.
18. Steiner PM, Wroblewski A, Cook TD (2002) Randomized experiments and quasi-experimental designs in educational research. The role of science in educational evaluation Randomized Experiments and Quasi-Experimental Designs.
19. Kibuuka H, Guwatudde D, Kimutai R, Maganga L, Maboko L, et al. (2009) Contraceptive use in women enrolled into preventive HIV vaccine trials: Experience from a phase I/II trial in East Africa. *PLoS One* 4: 5164.
20. Kiwanuka N, Mpendo J, Nalutaaya A, Wambuzi M, Nanvubya A, et al. (2014) An assessment of fishing communities around Lake Victoria, Uganda, as potential populations for future HIV vaccine efficacy studies: An observational cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 14: 986.
21. Ssetaala A, Nakiyingi-Miiri J, Asiimwe S, Nanvubya A, Mpendo J, et al. (2015) Recruitment and retention of women in fishing communities in HIV prevention research. *Pan Afr Med J* 21: 104.
22. Mpendo J, Mutua G, Nyombayire J, Ingabire R, Nanvubya A, et al. (2015) A phase I double blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study of the safety and immunogenicity of electroporated HIV DNA with or without interleukin 12 in prime-boost combinations with an Ad35 HIV vaccine in healthy HIV-seronegative african adults. *PLoS One* 10: 0134287.

23. Jaoko W, Nakwagala FN, Anzala O, Manyonyi GO, Birungi J, et al. (2008) Safety and immunogenicity of recombinant low-dosage HIV-1 A vaccine candidates vectored by plasmid pTHr DNA or Modified Vaccinia Virus Ankara (MVA) in humans in East Africa. *Vaccine* 26: 2788-2795.
24. Kiwanuka N, Ssetaala A, Ssekandi I, Nalutaaya A, Kitandwe PK, et al. (2017) Population attributable fraction of incident HIV infections associated with alcohol consumption in fishing communities around Lake Victoria, Uganda. *PLoS One* 12: 0171200.
25. Asiki G, Mpendo J, Abaasa A, Agaba C, Nanvubya A, et al. (2011) HIV and syphilis prevalence and associated risk factors among fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Uganda. *Sex Transm Infect* 87: 511-515.
26. Abaasa A, Asiki G, Mpendo J, Levin J, Seeley J, et al. (2015) Factors associated with dropout in a long term observational cohort of fishing communities around Lake Victoria, Uganda. *BMC Res Notes* 8: 815.
27. Omosa-Manyonyi G, Mpendo J, Ruzagira E, Kilembe W, Chomba E, et al. (2015) A phase I double blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study of the safety and immunogenicity of an adjuvanted HIV-1 Gag-Pol-Nef fusion protein and adenovirus 35 Gag-RT-Int-Nef vaccine in healthy HIV-uninfected African adults. *PLoS One* 10: 0125954.
28. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2014) Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistics. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
29. Pickering H, Okongo M, Bwanika K, Nnalusiba B, Whitworth J (1997) Sexual behaviour in a fishing community on Lake Victoria, Uganda. *Health Transit Rev* 7: 13-20.
30. Nanvubya A, Ssempeira J, Mpendo J, Ssetaala A, Nalutaaya A, et al. (2015) Use of modern family planning methods in fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Uganda. *PLoS One* 10: 0141531.
31. Goepfert PA, Elizaga ML, Sato A, Qin L, Cardinali M, et al. (2011) Phase 1 safety and immunogenicity testing of DNA and recombinant modified vaccinia Ankara vaccines expressing HIV-1 virus-like particles. *J Infect Dis* 203: 610-619.
32. Mugerwa RD, Kaleebu P, Mugenyi P, Katongole-Mbidde E, Hom DL, et al. (2002) First trial of HIV vaccine in Africa: Ugandan experience. *BMJ* 324: 226-229.
33. Celentano DD, Beyrer C, Natpratan C, Eiumtrakul S, Sussman L, et al. (1995) Willingness to participate in AIDS vaccine trials among high-risk populations in northern Thailand. *AIDS* 9: 1079-1083.
34. Hom DL, Johnson JL, Mugenyi P, Byaruhanga R, Kityo C, et al. (1997) HIV-1 risk and vaccine acceptability in the Ugandan military. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol* 15: 375-380.



- Journal of Anesthesia & Clinical Care
Journal of Addiction & Addictive Disorders
Advances in Microbiology Research
Advances in Industrial Biotechnology
Journal of Agronomy & Agricultural Science
Journal of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs
Journal of Alcoholism, Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence
Journal of Allergy Disorders & Therapy
Journal of Alternative, Complementary & Integrative Medicine
Journal of Alzheimer's & Neurodegenerative Diseases
Journal of Angiology & Vascular Surgery
Journal of Animal Research & Veterinary Science
Archives of Zoological Studies
Archives of Urology
Journal of Atmospheric & Earth-Sciences
Journal of Aquaculture & Fisheries
Journal of Biotech Research & Biochemistry
Journal of Brain & Neuroscience Research
Journal of Cancer Biology & Treatment
Journal of Cardiology: Study & Research
Journal of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism
Journal of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy
Journal of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy
Journal of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports
Journal of Community Medicine & Public Health Care
Current Trends: Medical & Biological Engineering
Journal of Cytology & Tissue Biology
Journal of Dentistry: Oral Health & Cosmesis
Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders
Journal of Dairy Research & Technology
Journal of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care
Journal of Environmental Science: Current Research
Journal of Food Science & Nutrition
Journal of Forensic, Legal & Investigative Sciences
Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research
Journal of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine
Journal of Genetics & Genomic Sciences
Journal of Hematology, Blood Transfusion & Disorders
Journal of Human Endocrinology
Journal of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care
Journal of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare
Journal of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases
Journal of Light & Laser: Current Trends
Journal of Modern Chemical Sciences
Journal of Medicine: Study & Research
Journal of Nanotechnology: Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology
Journal of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics
Journal of Nephrology & Renal Therapy
Journal of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation
Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Radiology & Radiation Therapy
Journal of Obesity & Weight Loss
Journal of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy
Journal of Otolaryngology, Head & Neck Surgery
Journal of Protein Research & Bioinformatics
Journal of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research
Journal of Pharmacology, Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance
Journal of Physical Medicine, Rehabilitation & Disabilities
Journal of Plant Science: Current Research
Journal of Psychiatry, Depression & Anxiety
Journal of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research
Journal of Practical & Professional Nursing
Journal of Reproductive Medicine, Gynaecology & Obstetrics
Journal of Stem Cells Research, Development & Therapy
Journal of Surgery: Current Trends & Innovations
Journal of Toxicology: Current Research
Journal of Translational Science and Research
Trends in Anatomy & Physiology
Journal of Vaccines Research & Vaccination
Journal of Virology & Antivirals
Archives of Surgery and Surgical Education
Sports Medicine and Injury Care Journal
International Journal of Case Reports and Therapeutic Studies

Submit Your Manuscript: <http://www.heraldopenaccess.us/Online-Submission.php>