HSOA Journal of



Reproductive Medicine, Gynecology & Obstetrics

Research Article

Role of 3D Ultrasonography and Hysteroscpy in Detecting Uterine Cavity Pathologies

Rohina Aggarwal* and Vineet Mishra

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Institute of Kidney Disease & Research Centre, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, India

Abstract

Background: Evaluation of Uterine cavity can be done using Hysterosalpingography (HSG), Trans Vaginal Ultrasonography (TVS), Sonohysterography (SHG) and Hysteroscopy. Uterine cavity abnormalities, e.g., endometrial polyps, submucous myomas, intra- uterine adhesions, endometritis and uterine septum may result in Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (AUB) in the form of menorrhagia or metrorrhagiaor or failure to conceive. Hence exclusion of intrauterine pathology is important for abnormal uterine bleeding and infertility treatment.

Objective: To compare diagnostic accuracy and efficacy of three dimensional transvaginal ultrasound (3D-TVS) and hysteroscopy in detecting uterine cavity abnormalities, in patients with abnormal uterine bleeding and infertility.

Design and setting: An Observational Prospective Study in the Department Of Obstetrics & Gynecology in the Institute of Kidney disease & Research Centre, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, India from January 2018 to February 2019 was conducted.

Materials and methods: Two hundred patients with abnormal uterine bleeding and infertility were studied prospectively. 100 patients had Abnormal Uterine Bleeding as chief complaint and 100 patients had Infertility as chief complaint. 2Dimensional (2D) Transvaginal Ultrasonography followed by 3Dimensional (3D) Ultrasonography and hysteroscopy were performed in the mid to late follicular phase of menstrual cycle. Uterine cavity characteristics and abnormalities were noted.

Results: Qualitative data were presented as number and percentage. Comparisons between groups were estimated by chi-square test. Abnormal findings were detected in 32.5% patients by hysteroscopy and in 20% patients by 3D ultrasonography. A probability value

*Corresponding author: Rohina Aggarwal, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Institute of Kidney Disease & Research Centre, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, India, Tel: +91 9428025744; E-mail: drrohinaaggarwal@gmail.com

Citation: Aggarwal R, Mishra V (2022) Role of 3D Ultrasonography and Hysteroscpy in Detecting Uterine Cavity Pathologies. J Reprod Med Gynecol Obstet 7: 097.

Received: March 04, 2022; Accepted: March 15, 2022; Published: March 22, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Aggarwal R, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

(p value) < 0.01 was considered significant. Endometrial Polyp was detected in 14% of patients on hysteroscopy as compared to 7.5% patients by 3D ultrasonography with p-value <0.04.

Conclusion: Hysteroscopy is a safe and reliable procedure to evaluate benign endometrial lesion, direct visualization of uterine cavity with high diagnostic accuracy. It has the advantage of simultaneous treatment or biopsy procedures in few cases while 3D USG is non invasive and good tool for basic evaluation of uterus and adnexa.

Keywords: Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB); Hysteroscopy; Infertility; Trans vaginal ultrasonography (TVS); Uterine cavity

Introduction

Uterine cavity evaluation can be done using Hysterosalpingography (HSG), Trans Vaginal Ultrasonography (TVS), Sonohysterography (SHG) and Hysteroscopy [1]. Hysteroscopy is the gold standard for evaluation of the uterine cavity because it permits direct visualization of the uterine cavity, showing characteristics of lesions, including nature, size, shape, location and vascular pattern. Unsuspected uterine cavity abnormalities, such as uterine septum, endometrial adhesions, endometrial polyps ,endometritis and small submucous myomas, which may cause abnormal uterine bleeding or reduce the chances of success in ART by interfering with implantation or causing spontaneous abortion [2]. Intrauterine pathologies were found in 11-40% of infertile patients [3]. Therefore, exclusion of intrauterine pathology is an important step before starting ART and treatment of any discovered lesion may improve ART outcome [2]. 3D-Trans-Vaginal Sonography examines three planes simultaneously, producing a 3D rendering and reconstruction of the coronal plane, which is not visible in 2D-US [3]. Three D images are developed from data obtained with a single sweep of the Ultra Sound beam across the uterus; a factor that may decrease interobserver variability. So 3D-TVS can adequately overcome the limitations of 2D ultrasound and improves the diagnostic accuracy for detecting uterine cavity abnormalities [4]. Pitfalls with 3 D-TVS may have limitations in detection of submucous fibroids in the presence of multiple fibroids, intrauterine adhesion, distinguishing hyperplastic endometrium from polyp, and distinguishing arcuate from septate uterus [5]. Although hysteroscopy has very few associated complications, perforation, cervical laceration, bleeding and vasovagal reaction may occur. Hysteroscopy may also achieve only limited access in cases of cervical stenosis.

The aim of this study was to determine the diagnostic accuracy of 3D-Trans Vaginal Sonography compared with hysteroscopy in detecting uterine cavity abnormalities in women with various different uterine pathologies.

Materials and Methods

This prospective observational cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, of Kidney disease & Research Centre, Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, India after getting approval from Institutional Review Board. From January 2018 to February 2019. Total 200 patients with different gynecological complaints; 100 patients had abnormal uterine bleeding and 100 patients had infertility as main complaint were recruited.

Inclusion criteria

- Women between 20-45 Years
- No history of medical disorder like cardiac disease, haematological and thyroid disorder
- No history of active Sexually Transmitted Disease or Pelvic Inflammatory Disease
- No active vaginal bleeding and
- · No pregnancy

Probable causes of infertility and description of Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (AUB) were also noted. Written informed consent was taken. Ultrasound and hysteroscopy were performed in the mid to late proliferative phase of the menstrual cycle so as to prevent abortion of an early pregnancy and to minimize confusion of a thickened secretory endometrium of the second half of the cycle.

2D Transvaginal Ultrasonography (TVS) followed by 3D Transvaginal Ultrasonography (TVS) was performed by a single operator using a Voluson E10 Expert 3D-US system (GE Healthcare) with a RIC5- 9H microconvex intracavity ultrasound transducer in a standardized manner at a maximum sweep angle of 180°. The transducer was held steady by the investigator, and the patient was instructed to hold her breath and refrain from moving. At 9 MHz probe frequency setting, a mid-sagittal view of the uterus filling 75% of the screen and three-dimensional box size including the uterine fundus to the cervix, a 3D volume was obtained through the uterus by an automated system. The image was then presented in a multiplanar display, showing three perpendicular planes through the volume: the sagittal, transverse, and reconstructed coronal views. By scrolling through the reconstructed coronal view, multiple images were obtained by the sonographer. All cases were performed on the same machine by the same operator. Images were saved.

3D Trans Vaginal Sonography findings were recorded as follows:

- Mullerian Anomalies in the form of unicornuate, bicornuate. septate, subseptate or arcuate uterus. Diagnosis of an Intra uterine septum was made, if the central point of indentation was at an acute angle (< 90°), along with no fundal myometrial indentation while if the central point of indentation was at obtuse angle (> 90°) along with significant fundal myometrial indentation the diagnosis of bicornuate uterus was made (Figures 1-3)
- Intrauterine adhesions seen as irregularities and calcification of endometrium (Figure 4)
- Endometrial polyp seen as hyperechoic thickening of the endometrial mucosa (Figure 5)
- Submucous leiomyoma (a solid, whorled, and mixed echogenic tumor disrupting and Affecting the endometrial interfaces) Size, grade and location of polyps and submucous leiomyoma were documented (Figure 6)
- Foreign body in uterine cavity (Figure 7)
- Normal Uterine Cavity (Figure 8)

Hysteroscopy was performed as an office procedure without anaesthesia, after putting the patient in the dorsal lithotomy position. Premedication with injection Atropine to prevent vasovagal attack and injection Buscopan was given. Tab Misoprostal 400 microgram was kept in vagina early morning so as to fascilitate hysteroscope insertion. Vagina was cleaned with povidone-iodine. Hysteroscope using vaginoscopic approach with advancement inside the cervical canal and uterine cavity was done under vision. Continuous irrigation of the uterine cavity was done using Normal saline maintaining an intrauterine pressure of 100 mm Hg. The standard sequence of inspecting vagina, ectocervix, endocervical canal, uterine cavity, endometrium and tubal ostia was followed. The fundus was visualized between the two ostia and the scope was withdrawn slowly to see all the four walls of the uterus, by turning light cable to 360 degrees for this purpose.

• Page 2 of 8 •

Hysteroscopic findings were allocated either to "the uterine cavity lesions" or "the endometrial aspect characterization". The uterine cavity lesions [6] that were found including: endometrial polyp, cervical polyp, myoma, endometrial adhesions, congenital malformation, Lost Intrauterine Device (IUD). In the endometrial aspect characterization, differentiation between functional, atrophic or thin endometrium, dysfunctional, endometritis, cystic atrophy, hyperplasia, polypoidal and carcinoma was done. The mean time required for the procedure was about 10 minutes. Video recording of hysterscopy was done.

Statistical methods- Data acquired included 3D TVS and hysteroscopy

Data were recorded and statistically analyzed by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Qualitative data were presented as number and percentage. Comparisons between groups were estimated by chi-square test. Probability values (p value) less than 0.01 and 0.04 were considered significant.

Results

Two hundred women were recruited for the study. 3D transvaginal ultrasonography and hysteroscopy were successfully performed in all women. Among the studied population, 100 (50%) women complained of infertility (Group A) whereas abnormal uterine bleeding (Group B) was the main complaint of the other 100 (50%) women (Table 1). Out of Infertile women 35 (70%) had primary infertility while 15 (30%) had secondary infertility (Table 1). Mean age of subjects was 33±3.6 years in Group A and 37±4.3 in Group Bas shown in table 2 by hysteroscopy 67.5% normal uterine cavities were diagnosed as compared to 80% by 3D USG (Table 3), which is also statistically significant (p-value <0.01). Ultrasonographic and hysteroscopic findings are shown in table 4. Hysteroscopy showed 65 cases of positive findings, including endometrial polyp in 28 patients, submucous myomas in 7 patients, 5 cases of intrauterine adhesions and 10 case of mullerian anomalies and 3D-TVS showed pathological findings in 40 cases with 15 cases of endometrial polyps and missed the diagnosis of endometrial polyps in 13 cases, which is also statistically significant (p-value <0.04). On USG endometrial polyp's appearance was like hyperplastic endometrium as depicted by Figure 9. Hence it was misinterpretated as endometrial hyperplasia. Commonest lesion detected was endometrial polyp (14.0% cases) in 32.5% of abnormal hysteroscopic findings and in 7.5% cases of 20% cases of abnormal USG findings (Table 5).

Observations & results

| | Infertility (Group A) | | Menor- rhagia (Group B) | Total Number of cases |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Primary Infertility | Secondary Infertility | | |
| Number of Cases | 70 | 30 | 100 | 200 |
| Percentage (%) | 35% | 15% | 50% | 100% |

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to chief complaints.

| | Infertility (Group A) Mean±SD | Range | Menorrhagia (Group B) Mean±SD | Range |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Parity | 2.67±0.90 | 0-5 | 2.60±0.88 | 01-Jun |
| Mean Duration (Years) of Com- plaints | 4.9±3.9 | 2-18 (Years) | 4.8±2.6 | 1-4 (Years) |
| Mean Age (Years) | 33±3.6 | 22-43 (Years) | 37±4.3 | 34-45 (Years) |

Table 2: Demography.

| | Office Hysteros- copy | 3D TVS | Chi-square value | P value |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| Normal | 135 (67.5%) | 160 (80%) | 8.07 | <0.01* |
| Abnormal | 65 (32.5%) | 40 (20%) | | |
| Total | 200 | 200 | | |

Table 3: Findings.

*Represents significant difference between 2 groups.



| Findings | Hysteroscopy | 3 d USG | p-value |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Endometrial Polyp | 28 (14%) | 15 (7.5%) | 0.04* |
| Cervical Polyp | 5 (2.5%) | 2 (1%) | 0.45 (NS) |
| Submucus Myoma | 7 (3.5%) | 6 (3%) | 0.78 (NS) |
| Unicornuate Uterus | 5 (2.5%) | 5 (2.5%) | 1.00 (NS) |
| Uterine Septum | 5 (2.5%) | 6 (3%) | 0.76 (NS) |
| Foreign Body in Uterus | 3 (1.5%) | 1 (0.5%) | 0.62 (NS) |
| Asherman Syndrome | 5 (2.5%) | 2 (1%) | 0.45 (NS) |

J Reprod Med Gynecol Obstet ISSN: 2574-2574, Open Access Journal DOI: 10.24966/RMGO-2574/100097

| Endometrial Hyperplasia | 4 (2%) | 3 (1.5%) | 1.00 (NS) |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Endometritis | 3 (1.5%) | 0 (0%) | 0.25 (NS) |
| Normal | 135 (67.5%) | 160 (80%) | <0.01* |

Table 4: Appearance of the Uterus by the Three Dimensional TVS.

*Represents significant difference between 2 groups



| Complications | Hysteroscopy (N=200) | 3 d USG (N=200) | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Vasovagal Reaction | 2 (1%) | Nil | |
| Uterine Perforation | 2 (1%) | Nil | |
| Cervical Laceration | 4 (2%) | Nil | |
| Haemorrhage | 8(4%) | Nil | |
| Abdominal Cramps | 20 (10%) | Nil | |
| Vaginal Spotting | 36 (18%) | Nil | |
| Infection | 2 (1%) | Nil | |
| Table 5: Complications during Procedure. | | | |



Hysteroscopy showing Polyp in Uterine Cavity.



Volume 7 • Issue 1 • 100097

• Page 3 of 8 •

• Page 4 of 8 •



Figure 1: 2D & 3D USG showing Septum in Uterine Cavity.



Hysteroscopy showing Septate Uterus.



Figure 2: 2D & 3D USG showing Unicornuate Uterus.

J Reprod Med Gynecol Obstet ISSN: 2574-2574, Open Access Journal DOI: 10.24966/RMGO-2574/100097



Hysteroscopy showing Unicornuate Uterus.





Figure 3: 2D & 3D USG showing Subseptate Uterus.



Hysteroscopy showing Submucus Fibroid in Uteruine Cavity.

• Page 5 of 8 •





Hysteroscopy showing CuT in side Uterine Cavity.



J Reprod Med Gynecol Obstet ISSN: 2574-2574, Open Access Journal DOI: 10.24966/RMGO-2574/100097



Figure 5: 2D & 3D USG showing Polyp in Uterine Cavity.



Hysteroscopy showing Subseptate Uterus.



Figure 6: 2D & 3D USG showing Submucus Fibroid in Uterine Cavity.

• Page 6 of 8 •



Hysteroscopy showing Nomal Uterine Cavity.





J Reprod Med Gynecol Obstet ISSN: 2574-2574, Open Access Journal DOI: 10.24966/RMGO-2574/100097



Figure 8: 2D & 3D USG showing Nomal Uterine Cavity.



Hysteroscopy showing Adhesions in Left Cornua of Uterine Cavity (Asherman Syndrome). Left Ostia is obscured due to adhesions



Figure 9: D & 3D USG showing Endometium Hyperplasia with small cystic space.



Discussion

Uterine cavity is an important factor for successful implantation of the embryo. Presence of benign intrauterine lesion, such as endometrial polyps, uterine septum, intrauterine adhesions and submucous myoma may contribute to infertility or decrease chances of successful ART or bleeding. Therefore, accurate and reliable tools for uterine cavity assessment are very important. Similarly menorrhagia is easily attributed to uterine cavity abnormalities like polyp, submuocus fibroid, hyperplasia and IUCD [7]. Uterine cavity lesion is an important and correctable factor that can lead to improvement in ART outcome or a condition of abnormal uterine bleeding. Identification of accurate and reliable detection and diagnostic tools for assessment of the uterine cavity is a necessary first step in the improvement of ART success rates [8]. 3D-TVS had 84.1% diagnostic accuracy for detecting uterine cavity abnormalities in infertile women. Reports vary regarding the diagnostic accuracy of 3D-Trans Vaginal Sonography.

Three-Dimensional Ultrasonography (3D USG) in comparison to hysteroscopy is less invasive, cheaper, easily accepted by most patients and does not require much training. In most cases an endometrial polyp can be differentiated from the submucous fibroid based on the imaging characteristics. The polyps are typically round in shape, smooth in outline, and are generally echogenic, compared to the endometrium or are isoechoic to it. The underlying endometrial-myometrial interface is preserved (Figure 5). Moreover the presence of a vascular pedicle has a positive predictive value of up to 81.3%. Fibroids are more inhomogeneous, hypoechoic, and there is a loss of endometrial-myometrial interface. The percentage of the intra cavitary portions of the submucous fibroids i.e., grade of submucous myoma can be assessed on 3D USG by the degree of distortion caused by it (Picture 6).

A study by Van den Bosch [9] evaluated diagnostic accuracy of 3DTVS in detection of uterine cavity lesion in women with abnormal uterine bleeding. They found endometrial polyp in 26%, submucous myoma in 7%, endometrial hyperplasia in 6%, and cancer in 1% of subjects. They also reported 93% diagnostic accuracy, 96% sensitivity, and 91% specificity. In our study, 3D-TVS detected all cases of submucous myoma while missed 13 cases out of twenty eight cases with endometrial polyps. Even though there were only a small number of cases with submucous myoma, 3D TVS demonstrated 100% diagnostic accuracy. Hence hysteroscopy is recommended for accurate detection and diagnosis of uterine cavity lesion.

In a similar study Haemila et al., [10] in a study of females with premenopausal bleeding found that 3D TVS detected myomas in

J Reprod Med Gynecol Obstet ISSN: 2574-2574, Open Access Journal DOI: 10.24966/RMGO-2574/100097

14 (20%), polyps in 8 (11.43%), and endometrial mass in 1 while hysteroscopy detected myomas in 6 (8.57%), polyps in 11 (15.72%) and endometrial mass in 1 (1.43%). In contrast to the study above, we found that 3D TVS detected 20% abnormalities, out of which 15 (7.5%) were endometrial polyps, 6 (3%) were submucous myomas, 6 (3%) were septa, 1 (2%) were adhesions, and 5 (2.5%) was unicornuate uterus. While hysteroscopy detected 32.5% abnormalities, out of which 28 (14%) were polyps, 7 (3.5%) were submucous myomas, 5 (2.5%) were septa, 5 (2.5%) were adhesions and 5 (2.5%) were unicornuate uteruses. In our study, 3D-TVS failed to detect 13 (36.45%) cases with endometrial polyps, which were detected on hysteroscopy.

Our study demonstrated that 3D TVS had high specificity, but its sensitivity was limited, especially for detecting endometrial polyp. A significant percentage of patients had evidence of uterine cavity pathology. As such, hysteroscopy remains the preferred procedure for accurate detection and diagnosis of uterine cavity lesion.

Hysteroscopy is an accurate and less invasive method for the evaluation of uterine cavity and allows direct visualization of the endometrium, revealing the nature, location, shape, size and vascular pattern of any uterine cavity abnormalities. Complications [11] such as vasovagal reaction, Uterine perforation, Cervical laceration, Haemorrhage, are rare with hyseroscopy. The patient may experience anxiety, discomfort, and mild lower abdominal cramps during hysterscope insertion. However, the symptoms subside soon after the end of the procedure. The procedure is usually tolerated well.

Conclusion

Hysteroscopy is a safe and reliable procedure to evaluate benign endometrial lesion, direct visualization of uterine cavity with high diagnostic accuracy. It has the advantage of simultaneous treatment or biopsy procedures in few cases while 3D USG is non invasive and good tool for basic evaluation of uterus and adnexa.

References

- Maged AM, Nasr ALA, Selem MA, Allah SHG, Wali AA (2016) Uterine Cavity Assessment and Endometrial Hormonal Receptors in Women with Peri and Post Menopausal Bleeding. Trends Gynecol Oncol 1:105.
- Pundir J, El Toukhy T (2010) Uterine cavity assessment prior to IVF. Womens Health (Lond) 6: 841-847.
- Fang L, Su Y, Guo Y, Sun Y (2013) Value of 3-dimensional and power Doppler sonography for diagnosis of endometrial polyps. J Ultrasound Med 32: 247-255.
- Bahadur A, Malhotra N, Singh N, Gurunath S, Mittal S (2013) Comparative study on the role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in evaluation of the uterine cavity prior to in vitro fertilization in a developing country. Arch Gynecol Obstet 288: 1137-1143.
- Downey DB, Fenster A, Williams JC (2000) Clinical utility of three dimensional US. Radiographics 20: 559-571.
- Michael SB, Rafael FV, Hubert G (2007) Hysteroscopy: Visual perspectives of uterine anatomy, physiology, and pathology (3rdedn). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA.
- Vercellini P, Cortesi I, Oldani S, Moschetta M, De Giorgi O, et al. (1997) The role of transvaginal ultrasonography and outpatient diagnostic hysteroscopy in the evaluation of patients with menorrhagia. Hum Reprod 12: 1768-1771.

• Page 7 of 8 •

Page 8 of 8

- Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology; American Society for Reproductive Medicine (2007) Assisted reproductive technology in the United States: 2001 results generated from the American Society for Reproductive Medicine/Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology registry. Fertil Steril 87: 1253-1266.
- Haemila FA, Youssef D, Hassan M, Soliman A, Mossad M (2005) A prospective comparative study of 3-D ultrasonography and hysteroscopy in detecting uterine lesions in premenopausal bleeding. Middle East Fertility Society 10: 238-243.
- Van den Bosch T, Valentin L, Van Schoubroeck D, Luts J, Bignardi T, et al. (2012) Detection of intracavitary uterine pathology using offline analysis of three-dimensional ultrasound volumes: interobserver agreement and diagnostic accuracy. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 40: 459-463.
- Jansen FW, Vredevoogd CB, van Ulzen K, Hermans J, Trimbos JB (2000) Complications of hysteroscopy: a prospective, multicenter study. Obstet Gynecol 96: 266-270.



Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665 Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126 Archives Of Urology Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779 Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276 Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292 Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370 Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594 Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562 Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608 Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879 Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397 Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751 Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523 Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780 Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546 Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943 Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771 Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844 Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801 Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978 Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107 Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315 Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783 Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798 Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020 Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076 Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566

Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485 Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662 Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999 Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640 Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654 Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493 Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657 Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044 Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313 Journal Of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation | ISSN: 2572-7400 Journal Of Nuclear Medicine Radiology & Radiation Therapy | ISSN: 2572-7419 Journal Of Obesity & Weight Loss | ISSN: 2473-7372 Journal Of Ophthalmology & Clinical Research | ISSN: 2378-8887 Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052 Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649 Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670 Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743 Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681 Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150 Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177 Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574 Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060 Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284 Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735 Journal Of Translational Science And Research Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193 Journal Of Virology & Antivirals Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829 Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: https://www.heraldopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript